



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

MAHALINGAM NANDAKUMAR

Serial No. 09/252,514 (TI-23103)

Filed: February 18, 1999

For: DUAL-COUNTERDOPED CHANNEL  
FIELD EFFECT TRANSISTOR AND METHOD

Art Unit 2811

Examiner S. Crane

Customer No. 23494

Mail Stop Petitions  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that the attached document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450,, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or is being facsimile transmitted on the date indicated below:

3-24-06

Jay M. Cantor, Reg. No. 19,906

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Sir:

Request for reconsideration of the Decision on Petition dated February 17, 2006 is hereby requested.

The facts are as follows:

1. The undersigned timely mailed a response to the election requirement dated March 12, 2004 with Certificate of Mailing and two post cards, one addressed to the Patent Department of Texas Instruments Incorporated and one addressed to the undersigned on March 22, 2004, a copy of which is attached hereto.

2. A copy of the paper mailed to the Patent and Trademark Office was also concurrently mailed at the same post office to the Patent Department of Texas Instruments Incorporated with a letter dated March 22, 2004 and was received by the Texas Instruments Patent Department, initialed by Jacqueline McBride of the Patent Department (note initials at top right of letter) and placed in their file, a copy of which is attached hereto.

3. The post card addressed to the undersigned was never received, however the post card attached to the paper mailed to the Patent and Trademark Office on March 22, 2004 which was addressed to the Patent Department of Texas Instruments Incorporated was received without the Patent and Trademark Office mail room stamp thereon (attached to original petition).

The facts clearly indicate that the paper dated March 22, 2004 was sent to the Patent and Trademark Office by first class mail along with mail sent concurrently to the Patent Department at Texas Instruments Incorporated. The facts also clearly indicate that one of the mailings was received and that one of the post cards mailed to the Patent and Trademark Office was received by someone and sent to the addressee thereon, namely to the Patent Department of Texas Instruments Incorporated.

The only logical conclusion that can be arrived at from the facts in this case is that the paper mailed to the Patent and Trademark Office was received and mishandled at the Patent and Trademark Office, the addressee. Otherwise there is no logical basis for the post card arriving at the Texas Instruments Incorporated Patent Department. Furthermore, as attested to by the undersigned, the paper was properly mailed to the Patent and Trademark Office. Accordingly, even without consideration of the post card

as noted above, the likelihood is that the paper was mishandled at the Patent and Trademark Office. Based upon the facts herein, there is absolutely no basis for holding the subject application abandoned and reconsideration and reversal of the Decision on Petition is therefore requested.

A call to Special Program Examiner Jose G. Dees has been unanswered, requiring this request.

I declare under penalty of perjury, on information and belief, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Respectfully submitted,



Jay M. Cantor  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 19,906

Texas Instruments Incorporated  
P. O. Box 655474, MS 3999  
Dallas, Texas 75265  
(301) 424-0355 (Phone)  
(972) 917-5293 (Phone)  
(301) 279-0038 (Fax)